



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SPH

6

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/258,398 02/26/99 CHEN

J BEU/CHEN/CON

┌

TM11/1016

└

BACON & THOMAS
625 SLATERS LANE 4TH FLOOR
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314-1176

EXAMINER

WRIGHT, N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2785

DATE MAILED:

9

10/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Please see attached:

- 1) Interview summary*
- 2) Copy MA 1449 filed 2/26/99*

07-26
10/13/00
NORMAN M. WRIGHT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Am 2785

TS



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/258,398	02/26/99	CHEN	BEU/CHEN/CON

BACON & THOMAS
625 SLATERS LANE 4TH FLOOR
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314-1176

TM11/1016

EXAMINER	
WRIGHT, N.	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2785	9

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

10/16/00

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. N. Wright (Exmr. of rec.) (3) _____
(2) Ms. B. Urcia (App. rep.) (4) _____

Date of interview 10/13/00

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: N/A

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed: 1449 Filed 2/26/99.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Exmr. of record indicated that the letter filed 9/18/00 indicated a IDS late of 24 Aug 98, which was prior to the filing of the application. Applicant's rep confirmed that it should have been the IDS filed 2/26/99. The Exmr. agreed to fax a copy to Applicant. Fax line non-operative therefore mailed to applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

NORMAN M. WRIGHT
PRIMARY EXAMINER